



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/564,293  | 01/10/2006  | Gunnar Wetzker       | NL 030813           | 6995             |
| 65913   | 7550        | 04/10/2009           | EXAMINER            |                  |
| NXP, B.V.<br>NXP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT<br>M/S41-SJ<br>1109 MCKAY DRIVE<br>SAN JOSE, CA 95131 |             |                      | GILES, EBONI N      |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2611                |                  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 04/10/2009          | ELECTRONIC       |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/564,293

**Applicant(s)**

WETZKER ET AL.

**Examiner**

EBONI GILES

**Art Unit**

2611

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EBONI GILES.(3) PATRICK WAMSLEY (59,241).(2) MOHAMMED GHAYOUR.(4) SCOTT POJUNAS (62,590).

Date of Interview: 31 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-11.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment to claims 1-11 were discussed. Applicant's representative explained the portions of the specification which supported the proposed amendment. Examiner suggested that the proposed amendment be formally submitted to the Office which then will be evaluated and an appropriate response will be mailed by the Office.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ Eboni Giles/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2611

/Mohammad H Ghayour/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611